

AD _____

Award Number: MIPR OEC5DM0076

TITLE: Computerized Neuropsychological Screening of Army
Aviators

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Daniel Christensen

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Walter Reed Army Medical Center
Washington, DC 20307

REPORT DATE: May 2000

TYPE OF REPORT: Midterm

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for public release;
Distribution unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

20010720 050

Form Approved
OMB No. 074-0188

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)

3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED
Midterm (15 Feb 00 - 30 Apr 00)

5. FUNDING NUMBERS
MIPR OEC5DM0076

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)
Walter Reed Army Medical Center
Washington, DC 20307

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION
REPORT NUMBER

E-MAIL: daniel.christensen@amedd.army.mil

9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

10. SPONSORING / MONITORING
AGENCY REPORT NUMBER

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Approved for public release; Distribution unlimited

12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE

13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Words)

14. SUBJECT TERMS

15. NUMBER OF PAGES

4

16. PRICE CODE

17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
OF REPORT
Unclassified

18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
OF THIS PAGE
Unclassified

19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
OF ABSTRACT
Unclassified

20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT

Unlimited

MidTerm Overall Evaluation Report

PROPOSAL: 1999000234

TITLE: Computerized Neuropsychological Screening of Army Aviators

1. ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

We have completed all infrastructure planning to deploy this project. Included within our specific accomplishments are the following: 1. Completion, submission, and approval of the research protocol by Walter Reed Army Medical Center Department of Clinical Investigations (WRAMC-DCI). Approval required methodological revisions for statistical reasons and due to funding constraints (further details and revisions described in next section.) 2. Initiated contract for programmer. 3. Completed initial programming of the automated structured history questionnaire. 4. Obtained WRAMC-DCI required command approval from the Commander, Lyster Army Community Hospital, Fort Rucker, AL. 5. Testing software is on order, but not received yet.

2. PROBLEMS:

1. Approval of the protocol required methodological revisions due to funding constraints. The WRAMC-DCI statistician advised us that our proposed sample size ($N = 50$) was not adequate for the original number of variables proposed or to conduct an adequate test of concurrent validity. Based on this advice and our fixed funding amount (\$36,000) we reduced the number of variables and eliminated the concurrent validity aspect of the study. The proposal was subsequently approved to investigate correlations between 3 computerized neuropsychological tests and one criterion measure (instrumentation checkflight performance) with a sample size of 48 subjects. 2. Our original intent was to obtain protocol approval as well as additional manpower, facility and equipment support from US Army Aeromedical Research Lab (USAARL) at Fort Rucker, AL. Verbal coordination prior to submission of this proposal for funding indicated that they were interested, and an investigator from their staff was included on our investigator team. However, efforts to confirm their support during the early part of this project (JAN00-APR00) were unsuccessful, subsequently contributing to the delay in protocol approval associated with shifting the approval process to WRAMC-DCI. The loss of access to USAARL manpower and equipment resources will likely result in a longer period for running subjects through the protocol and necessitate hiring a research assistant. 3. We have encountered administrative delays in the acquisition of required commercial testing software (e.g., CogScreen, MicroCog). This has resulted in delaying the beginning of programming the database for data from these tests. 4. We have encountered delays in acquisition of the most recent copy of Automated Neuropsychological Assessment Metrics (ANAM2001) due to unanticipated efforts by the MRMC to secure patent/copyright protection prior to further use.

3. LIFE-CYCLE:

1. Finalize acquisition of commercial testing software with assistance of TMED Directorate, WRAMC. 2. Obtain approval from MRMC to use ANAM2001 while the copyright issues are pending. 3. Complete programming of the automated history questionnaire and test administration protocol. This will allow us to begin running subjects as early as JAN01. 4. Hire research assistant at Fort Rucker, AL to assist with recruiting and running subjects through the protocol. 5. Complete development of database.

4. DELIVERABLES:

Deliverable #1 (described below) has changed somewhat from original proposal due to a required reduction in number of variables under study and elimination of concurrent validity aspect of study. 1. Provide preliminary information about which neuropsychological variables under study correlate more highly with performance outcome and thus have potential predictive ability. 2. Make a preliminary determination as to which neuropsychological test may be best suited for use within Army Aviation. This will be based on a review of problems encountered in developing a new telehealth database system for 3 different computerized neuropsychological tests, the cost associated with use of each different test, and the results of

correlational analysis between neuropsychological variables and performance outcome. 3. Develop a set of recommendations for development of a standardized and uniform neuropsychological assessment protocol for Army aviation. These recommendations will also address development of preliminary normative data, the potential need to collect baseline data on all future aviators, as well as the potential usefulness of such data in addressing decisions about aviation training, airframe assignments and deciding medical waivers.